

## **BUS 206 Milestone Two Guidelines and Rubric**

**Overview:** Business law impacts our everyday lives, both personally and professionally. Businesses enter contracts, manufacture goods, sell services and products, and engage in employment and labor practices—activities that must all adhere to certain laws and regulations. Recognizing and evaluating legal issues is a fundamental skill that will help you navigate commercial relationships and avoid potential problems in the business world.

**Prompt:** Imagine yourself as a paralegal working in a law office that has been tasked with reviewing three current cases. You will review the case studies and compose a short report for each, applying your legal knowledge and understanding of the types of business organizations. In each of the three reports, you will focus on areas of law covered in this course. Case Study Two concentrates on contracts and landlord-tenant law.

Case Study Two: Sam Stevens lives in an apartment building where he has been working on his new invention, a machine that plays the sound of a barking dog to scare off potential intruders. A national chain store that sells safety products wants to sell Sam's product exclusively. Although Sam and the chain store never signed a contract, Sam verbally told a store manager several months ago that he would ship 1,000 units.

Sam comes home from work one day and finds two letters in his mailbox. One is an eviction notice from his landlord, Quinn, telling him he has to be out of the apartment in 30 days because his barking device has been bothering the other tenants. It also states that Sam was not allowed to conduct a business from his apartment. Sam is angry because he specifically told Quinn that he was working on a new invention, and Quinn had wished him luck. The second letter is from the chain store, demanding that Sam deliver the promised 1,000 units immediately.

Specifically, the following **critical elements** must be addressed:

- A. Analyze the elements of this case to determine whether a **valid contract** exists between Sam and the chain store. Support your response by identifying the elements of a valid contract in your analysis.
- B. Assume there is not a valid contract between Sam and the chain store. Analyze the elements of a **quasi-contract** and a promissory estoppel to determine whether the chain store would prevail on a claim of either. Why or why not? Include support for your analysis.
- C. Identify the **rights and obligations** of both the landlord and tenant under a standard residential lease agreement.
- D. Based upon those rights and obligations, does Sam's landlord have grounds to evict? Why or why not?
- E. Further, what **defenses** might Sam raise to an eviction action? Support your response.

**Guidelines for Submission:** Your submission should be a three- to six-page Word document with double spacing, 12-point Times New Roman font, and one-inch margins. Citations should be formatted according to APA style.



## Rubric

Critical Elements	Exemplary (100%)	Proficient (85%)	Needs Improvement (55%)	Not Evident (0%)	Value
Case Study Two:	Meets "Proficient" criteria, and	Analyzes the elements of the case	Analyzes the elements of the case	Does not analyze the elements of	18
Valid Contract	analysis is well qualified with	to determine whether a valid	to determine whether a valid	the case to determine whether a	
	concrete examples and is well	contract exists between Sam and	contract exists between Sam and	valid contract exists between Sam	
	supported and plausible	the chain store and supports	the chain store, but analysis is	and the chain store	
		response by identifying the	incorrect or does not support		
		elements of a valid contract	response by identifying the		
			elements of a valid contract		
Case Study Two:	Meets "Proficient" criteria and	Analyzes the elements of a quasi-	Analyzes the elements of a quasi-	Does not analyze the elements of	18
Quasi-Contract	cites scholarly research to	contract and a promissory	contract and a promissory	a quasi-contract and a promissory	
	substantiate claims	estoppel to determine whether	estoppel to determine whether	estoppel to determine whether	
		the chain store would prevail on a	the chain store would prevail on a	the chain store would prevail on a	
		claim of either, logically explains	claim of either and explains why	claim of either	
		why or why not, and includes	or why not, but the explanation is		
		support for analysis	cursory and/or illogical or does		
			not include support for analysis		
Case Study Two:	Meets "Proficient" criteria and is	Correctly determines the rights	Determines the rights and	Does not determine the rights	18
Rights and	accurate in effectively discussing	and obligations of both the	obligations of the landlord or the	and obligations of both the	
Obligations	nuanced rights and obligations in	landlord and tenant under a	tenant under a standard	landlord and tenant under a	
	the relationship between the	standard residential lease	residential lease agreement (but	standard residential lease	
	landlord and tenant	agreement	not both) or is incorrect in which	agreement	
			rights and obligations apply		
Case Study Two:	Meets "Proficient" criteria and	Correctly determines whether	Determines whether Sam's	Does not determine whether	18
Grounds to Evict	provides a thorough, step-by-step	Sam's landlord has grounds to	landlord has grounds to evict but	Sam's landlord has grounds to	
	analysis with specific supporting	evict based upon the previously	does not base determination on	evict	
	evidence applied to each element	stated rights and obligations	the previously stated rights and		
	of the relevant legal test		obligations or is incorrect in		
			determination		
Case Study Two:	Meets "Proficient" criteria and	Accurately determines what	Determines what defenses Sam	Does not determine what	18
Defenses	cites scholarly research to	defenses Sam might raise to an	might raise to an eviction action	defenses Sam might raise to an	
	substantiate determination	eviction action and effectively	but is not accurate in	eviction action	
		supports the response	determination or support is		
			ineffective		
Articulation of	Submission is free of errors	Submission has no major errors	Submission has major errors	Submission has critical errors	10
Response	related to citations, grammar,	related to citations, grammar,	related to citations, grammar,	related to citations, grammar,	
	spelling, syntax, and organization	spelling, syntax, or organization	spelling, syntax, or organization	spelling, syntax, or organization	1
	and is presented in a professional		that negatively impact readability	that prevent understanding of	1
	and easy to read format		and articulation of main ideas	ideas	
				Earned Total	100%